

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,393	09/23/2003	Jon Godston	010398-9065-02	2524	
7590 04/18/2006 Michael Best & Friedrich LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ALIE, GH	ALIE, GHASSEM		
100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3724	3724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sm	
00	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/668,393	GODSTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ghassem Alie	3724		

Advisory Action	10/000,393 GODSTON ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ghassem Alie	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
 THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / interrement	(1 102 02 1).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	Ref					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-21 and 44-57</u> .	Allan N. Sho					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Supervisory Patent					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	Group 3700 at before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u>	ot be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacr	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment after final failed on 04/07/06 raises new issues that require further consideration and search. For example, independent claims 14 and 47, now recite, "the drive surface defining a drive surface center" and "the drive surface produces an arcute motion of the drive surface center" which raise new issues that require further consideration and search.